

Progress on the University of Florida Training Reactor

(2013 Edition)

#### Kelly Jordan

Director, University of Florida Training Reactor

Nuclear Engineering Program Department of Material Science and Engineering

#### Introduction



# University of Florida Training Reactor (UFTR) operating license came up for 20 yr renewal in 2001





- 1959 Originally licensed at 10kW
- 1964 Power uprate to 100kW
- 1982 Relicensed  $\rightarrow$  current license
- 2002 Updated FSAR submitted for relicensing
- 2006 HEU-LEU Conversion SAR approved, appended to 1982 FSAR
- 2007 Consideration of a digital controls system
- 2011 Current leadership team emplaced
- 2013 New safety analysis report and tech specssubmitted to NRC. Functional requirementsspecs complete for digital controls system





- To prove that the UFTR represents a negligible risk to the health and safety of the public
- New licensing approach allows for a reduction in the number of safety systems that are classified as safety related
- Ease the licensing path for the digital controls upgrade

## **UFTR Model**





15.56 cm

# Inherent Design Safety

- Four inherent design features that maximize safety:
- 1) Negative temperature and void coefficients
- Limited amount of excess reactivity (1.4%Δk/k max)
- Small amount of contained excess fission products
- 4) Low probability of escape of fission products







- The MHA is a 4500 lb. concrete shield block is dropped on the fuel
- Demonstrating that this accident poses no threat to the safety of the public or reactor personnel will help to satisfy the regulatory requirements of the NRC

## MCNPX and SCALE6.1

- The bundle with highest power (calculated with MCNPX burn card) is selected for evaluation
- SCALE calculates radionuclide inventory
- Doses calculated for the most exposed member of the public and for facility staff







| Occupational                        | TEDE Dose       |                     | Thyroid Dose   |       |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Exposure Inside                     | 5 min Exposure  | Limit               | 5 min Exposure | Limit |
| Reactor Cell<br>(rem)               | 0.136           | 5                   | 4.197          | 50    |
| Public Exposure<br>10m from Reactor | TEDE (rem/year) | Limit<br>(rem/year) | Exposure       |       |
| Stack<br>(rem/year)                 | 0.0065          | 0.1                 | 6.5%           |       |

#### MHA: All doses within health limits

# **Rapid Insertion of Reactivity**



- The fuel must remain below the Fuel Temperature Safety Limit of 530°C
- Used PARET-ANL, a coupled reactor kinetics-hydraulics code developed by Argonne National Labs
- PARET calculated the temperature of the hottest fuel plate





- The code completed the analysis of transients as large as \$2.00 (1480 pcm) inserted in 0.5 seconds
- The insertion caused a peak power of 116 MW; the pulse lasted 0.2 seconds
- The insertion cause the fuel temperature to rise to a maximum of 191°C

#### **Fuel Temperature**







- The maximum temperature of 191°C is well below the Safety Limit of 530°C
  - A change to the Technical Specifications of the UFTR is called for that would limit the maximum loading to 22 bundles so that there is an excess reactivity of no greater than 1480 pcm
- Future analysis would involve Relap5-3D to investigate larger insertions of reactivity, therefore increasing the number of loadable bundles

#### Conclusion



- The worst possible public exposure scenario is shown to be ~ 6.5% of the allowed annual dose
- The maximum fuel temperature is 191°C, and there is no credible scenario that will cause the UFTR to approach its Safety Limit of 530°C

The inherent safety features of the design and operational loading limits ensure that there is no threat to the health and safety to the general public under any circumstances

#### DCPS Upgrade Project Proposed UFTR DCPS Architecture







Install new digital Reactor Control and Protection Systems 2 Siemens T-3000 systems (1 each for RPS and RCS) RCS monitors and controls everything

Install a new independent Analog Trip System for redundancy in safety system

UF responsible for design of new bistable relays in separate cabinet Will use binary trip signals (where possible) from new field equipment Install brand new master key switch and additional SCRAM button

Use digital and binary signals (where possible) from field equipment New Mirion Technologies DWK250 and DGK250 Nuclear Instrumentation New Siemens HVAC system for reactor cell 16 New High Plume Exhaust System to increase altitude of controlled effluents

#### Phases for Licensing and Implementation UNIVERSITY of Training Reactor

| University of Florida                  | Vendor Partner  |
|--|---|
| Phase 0. Pre Application               | 1. Project Startup/Conceptual<br>Engineering                              |
| Phase 1. Initial Application           | 2. Basic Hardware/Software Design<br>3. Detailed Hardware/Software Design |
| Phase 2. Continued Review and Audit    | 4. Manufacturing<br>5. Testing  |
| Phase 3. Implementation and Inspection | 6. Installation & Commissioning<br>7.Final Documentation                  |



- Licensing basis reconstitution
- Brand new HVAC system
- Major security upgrades
- New Nuclear Instrumentation (NIs)
- Ar-41 monitoring and release mitigation





FRS and Sensor I/O Lists will be released to Siemens soon

Safety and security are the top priorities Digital RPS and redundant analog ATS for all safety trips of the reactor Master key and RCS authorized RO password both required for operation of the reactor Master key provides a layer of physical security beyond just digital security

Future work

Siemens hardware and software design of the 2 T-3000 systems

- UF completion of ATS hardware design and subsequent manufacturing
- System integration of all 3 systems (RPS, RCS, and ATS) likely in 2014 19

System testing, especially software testing – likely in 2014-2015



# Questions?